



Corres. and Mail

BOX AF

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
GROUP 2681
PATENT APPLICATION

AF2681

#11B
1/20/02
SML

(CNE)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

In re application of

JAN 11 2002

Noriyoshi SONETAKA

Technology Center 2600

Appln. No.: 09/298,910

Confirmation No.: Not Yet Assigned

Group Art Unit: 2681

Filed: April 26, 1999

Examiner: Lewis G. West

For: RADIO ACCESS SYSTEM WITH MEANS FOR DECIDING WHETHER FINAL
□ DIGIT OF TELEPHONE NUMBER HAS BEEN PUSHED OR NOT

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

ATTN: BOX AF
Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated October 10, 2001, Applicant states the following.

The Office Action was incomplete because Berry et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,953,676) (hereinafter “Berry”) attached thereto and relied upon by the Examiner in rejecting all of the claims was missing pages cited by the Examiner in the rejections. Applicant telephoned the Examiner regarding this deficiency in the Office Action on November 5, 2001 and spoke to the Examiner regarding this matter on November 6, 2001, when the Examiner returned the Applicant’s phone call. During the conversation on November 2, 2001, Applicant requested that the period for response be reset according to M.P.E.P. § 710.06.

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
U.S. APPLN. NO. 09/298,910

The Examiner telephoned Applicant on November 7, 2001 and stated that Applicant's request for a reset in the period for response would be granted, and that the period for response would be reset to some future date when the Patent Office formally mails a complete copy of Berry.

On January 2, 2002, Examiner informed Applicant that the Patent Office had not yet mailed a complete copy of Berry, that this would still be done at some future date, and that the period for response would be reset based on that future mailing date.

Applicant currently awaits receipt of the above described Patent Office mailing to remedy the deficiency in the Office Action dated October 10, 2001.

If the Examiner believes that any of Applicants statements are incorrect, Applicant requests that the Examiner inform the Applicant in writing.

Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark R. Woodall
Registration No. 43,286

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-3213
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860
Date: January 10, 2002